Matter may matter*

Zahra Haghani[1],† Tiberiu Harko[2],‡ Hamid Reza Sepangi[1],§ and Shahab Shahidi[1]¶
[1]*School of Physics, Damghan University, Damghan, Iran*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom and*
[3]*Department of Physics, Shahid Beheshti University, G. C., Evin,Tehran 19839, Iran*
(Dated: May 16, 2014)

We propose a gravitational theory in which the effective Lagrangian of the gravitational field is given by an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar, the trace of the matter energy-momentum tensor, and the contraction of the Ricci tensor with the matter energy-momentum tensor. The matter energy-momentum tensor is generally not conserved, thus leading to the appearance of an extra-force, acting on massive particles in a gravitational field. The stability conditions of the theory with respect to local perturbations are also obtained. The cosmological implications of the theory are investigated, representing an exponential solution. Hence a Ricci tensor - energy-momentum tensor coupling may explain the recent acceleration of the Universe, without resorting to the mysterious dark energy.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 04.20.Cv

arXiv:1405.3771v1 [gr-qc] 15 May 2014

The recent observational advances in cosmology have opened new windows for the understanding of the basic properties of the Universe and of the gravitational interaction that dominates its dynamics and evolution. The Planck satellite data of the 2.7 degree Cosmic Microwave Background full sky survey [1, 2] have generally confirmed the standard ΛCold Dark Matter cosmological paradigm. The recent measurement of the tensor modes from large angle CMB B-mode polarisation by BICEP2 [3], implying a tensor-to-scalar ratio $r = 0.2^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$, has provided a very convincing evidence for the inflationary scenario, since the generation of gravity-wave fluctuations is a generic prediction of the de Sitter expansion. However, the BICEP2 result is in tension with Planck limits on standard inflationary models [4]. The observation of the accelerated expansion of the Universe [5–7] has raised the fundamental issue of the cause of this acceleration, which is usually attributed to a mysterious and yet not directly detected dominant component of the Universe, called dark energy [8].

The numerous observational successes of cosmology require sound explanations and justifications and the need of putting cosmology, and more generally gravity, on a sound theoretical basis is felt as ever before. However, presently there is no convincing theoretical model, supported by observational evidence, which could clearly explain the nature of dark energy. Moreover, the recent accelerated expansion of the Universe, the galaxy rotation curves and the virial mass discrepancy at the galactic cluster level [9], usually explained by postulating the existence of another mysterious and yet undetected component of the Universe, the so-called dark matter, suggest that the standard general relativistic gravitational field equations, based on classic Einstein-Hilbert action $S = \int (R/2 + L_m)\sqrt{-g}d^4x$, where R is the scalar curvature, and L_m is the matter Lagrangian density, in which matter is minimally coupled to the geometry, cannot give an appropriate quantitative description of the Universe at large scales, beyond the boundary of the Solar System. From a cosmological point of view, these phenomena require the *ad hoc* introduction in the energy-momentum tensor, in addition to ordinary baryonic matter, of the dark matter and dark energy components.

In going beyond the Einstein-Hilbert action the first step was to generalize the geometric part of the action. An extension of the Einstein-Hilbert action, with an arbitrary function of the scalar invariant, $f(R)$, has been extensively explored in the literature [10]. Such a modification of the gravitational action accounts for the late acceleration of the Universe and may also provide a geometric explanation for dark matter, which can be understood as a manifestation of gravity itself [11]. The fascination for extra-dimensions, going back to the unified field theory of Kaluza and Klein, has led to the development of the brane world models [12–14]. In brane world models extra-dimensional gravitational effects dominate at high energies, but significant new effects that can successfully explain both dark energy and dark matter, do appear at low energies. Quadratic Lagrangians, constructed from second order curvature invariants such as R^2, $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$, $R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\gamma\delta}_{\mu\nu}$, $C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}C^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, etc., have also been considered as candidates for a more general gravitational action [15].

---

* Essay written for the Gravity Research Foundation 2014 Awards for Essays on Gravitation
†Electronic address: z.haghani@du.ac.ir
‡Electronic address: t.harko@ucl.ac.uk
§Electronic address: hr-sepangi@sbu.ac.ir
¶Electronic address: s.shahidi@du.ac.ir

Most of the modifications of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian did concentrate only on the geometric part of the action and assumed that the matter term does play a subordinate and passive role, guaranteed by its minimal coupling to geometry. However, a theoretical principle forbidding a more general coupling between matter and geometry does not exist *a priori*. If such a coupling is allowed, theoretical gravitational models with many interesting features can be constructed. By following the early works in [16] and [17], a maximal extension of the Einstein-Hilbert action of the form $S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}f\left(R, L_m\right)$ was considered in [18]. An extension of $f(R, L_{\rm m})$ gravity is the $f(R, T)$ model [19], with the corresponding action given by

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}f\left(R, T\right) + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}L_{\rm m},$$

where T is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor of the matter, $T_{\mu\nu}$. The dependence on T may be due to the presence of quantum effects (conformal anomaly), or of some exotic imperfect fluids.

However, $f\left(R, L_m\right)$ or $f(R, T)$ types of gravitational Lagrangians are not the most general Lagrangians with non-minimal matter - geometry coupling. For example, one may generalize the above modified theories of gravity by introducing a term $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ in the Lagrangian [20, 21]. Examples of such couplings can also be found in the Einstein-Born-Infeld theories [22], when one expands the square root in the Lagrangian. When $T = 0$, which is the case of electromagnetic radiation, the field equations of $f(R, T)$ gravity reduce to those of $f(R)$ gravity. However, considering the presence of the $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$ coupling term still entails a non-minimal coupling of geometry to the electromagnetic field.

We therefore propose to describe the gravitational field by the following action, taking into account a coupling between the energy-momentum tensor of ordinary matter, $T_{\mu\nu}$, and the Ricci curvature tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$,

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}f\left(R, T, R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}\right) + \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}L_m. \tag{1}$$

By varying the action Eq. (1) with respect to the metric we obtain the gravitational field equations as [20]

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_{eff}g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_{eff}T_{\mu\nu} + T^{eff}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{2}$$

where the effective gravitational coupling G_{eff}, the effective cosmological constant Λ_{eff}, and the effective energy-momentum tensor $T^{eff}_{\mu\nu}$ are

$$G_{eff} = \frac{G + \frac{1}{8\pi}\left(f_T + \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}R - \frac{1}{2}\Box f_{RT}\right)}{f_R - f_{RT}L_m}, \tag{3}$$

$$\Lambda_{eff} = \frac{2\Box f_R + Rf_R - f + 2f_TL_m + \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta(f_{RT}T^{\alpha\beta})}{2(f_R - f_{RT}L_m)}, \tag{4}$$

and

$$T^{eff}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{f_R - f_{RT}L_m}\Bigg\{\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu f_R - \nabla_\alpha f_{RT}\nabla^\alpha T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}f_{RT}\Box T_{\mu\nu} - 2f_{RT}R_{\alpha(\mu}T_{\nu)}{}^{\alpha}$$
$$+ \nabla_\alpha\nabla_{(\mu}\left[T^{\alpha}_{\nu)}f_{RT}\right] + 2\left(f_Tg^{\alpha\beta} + f_{RT}R^{\alpha\beta}\right)\frac{\partial^2L_m}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}\Bigg\}, \tag{5}$$

respectively. The index of f denotes the derivative, and RT stands for $R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$. In general G_{eff} and Λ_{eff} are not constants, and depend on the specific model considered.

The equation of motion for a massive test particle with the matter Lagrangian $L_m = p$ takes the form

$$\frac{d^2x^\lambda}{ds^2} + \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = f^\lambda, \tag{6}$$

with the extra force acting on massive test particles given by

$$f^\lambda = \frac{1}{\rho + p}\Bigg[\left(f_T + Rf_{RT}\right)\nabla_\nu\rho - \left(1 + 3f_T\right)\nabla_\nu p - (\rho + p)f_{RT}R^{\sigma\rho}\left(\nabla_\nu h_{\sigma\rho} - 2\nabla_\rho h_{\sigma\nu}\right)$$
$$-f_{RT}R_{\sigma\rho}h^{\sigma\rho}\nabla_\nu\left(\rho + p\right)\Bigg]\frac{h^{\lambda\nu}}{1 + 2f_T + Rf_{RT}}, \qquad h^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} + u^\alpha u^\beta. \tag{7}$$

The extra force does not vanish even with the Lagrangian $L_m = p$. In the Newtonian limit the generalized Poisson equation is obtained as

$$\nabla^2\phi = \frac{1}{2(f_R - 2\rho f_{RT})}\left[8\pi G\rho + 3\nabla^2 f_R - 3\rho f_T \ - \ 2f + \nabla(3f_R + \rho f_{RT})\cdot\nabla\phi\right], \tag{8}$$

where ρ is the energy density of the matter. The generalized Poisson equation contains the gradient of the Newtonian potential ϕ. In the same limit, the total acceleration of a massive system, $\vec{a}$, is given by

$$\vec{a} = \vec{a}_N + \vec{a}_E, \tag{9}$$

where $\vec{a}_N = -\nabla\phi$ is the Newtonian acceleration, and the supplementary acceleration, induced by the geometry-matter coupling, is

$$\vec{a}_E(\rho) = -\nabla U(\rho) = \frac{F_0}{\rho_0}\vec{\nabla}\rho, \tag{10}$$

where ρ_0 is the background density and

$$F_0 = \left.\frac{f_T + f_{RT}(R - R_{\alpha\beta}h^{\alpha\beta})}{1 + 2f_T + Rf_{RT}}\right|_{\rho=\rho_0}. \tag{11}$$

The viability of the theory can be studied by examining its stability with respect to local perturbations. In pure $f(R)$ gravity, if the function $f(R)$ satisfies the condition $f''(R) < 0$, a fatal instability, the Dolgov-Kawasaki instability, develops on time scales of the order of 10^{26} seconds [23]. In the present case, the condition of the stability with respect to the local perturbations can be formulated as

$$f_{RR}(R_0) - (\rho_0 - T_0/2)\, f_{RT,R}(R_0) \geq 0, \tag{12}$$

where R_0 is the background Ricci scalar.

Interesting cosmological consequences of the model can be obtained once the explicit functional form of the function f is chosen. Example of specific choices for f are $f = R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu}$, $f = R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} + \beta\sqrt{T}$ and $f = R(1 + +\alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$, where $\alpha,\ \beta =$ constant, respectively [20]. For $f = R\,(1 + \alpha R_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu})$, the cosmological gravitational field equations for a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker type geometry are given by

$$-3H^2 + \kappa\rho + \alpha\left(18H\ddot{H}\rho + 18H\dot{H}\dot{\rho} + 54H^2\dot{H}\rho - 9\dot{H}^2\rho + 27H^3\dot{\rho} + 27H^4\rho\right) = 0, \tag{13}$$

and

$$-2\dot{H} - 3H^2 + \alpha\left(6\dddot{H}\rho + 12\ddot{H}\dot{\rho} + 36H\ddot{H}\rho + 6\dot{H}\ddot{\rho} + 54H\dot{H}\dot{\rho} + 48H^2\dot{H}\rho + 15\dot{H}^2\rho + 9H^2\ddot{\rho} + 30H^3\dot{\rho} - 9H^4\rho\right) = 0, \tag{14}$$

respectively, where H is the Hubble function, and $\kappa = 8\pi G$. The terms proportional to α in the generalized Friedmann equations (13) and (14) play the role of an effective supplementary density and pressure, which may be responsible for the late time acceleration of the Universe. A de Sitter type solution of the field equations with $H = H_0 =$ constant and $a = a_0\exp(H_0 t)$ does exist if the matter density varies as

$$\rho(t) \ = \ e^{-\frac{1}{6}H_0(t-t_0)}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}H_0\,(H_0\rho_0 + 6\rho_{01})}{\sqrt{145\alpha H_0^4 + 4\kappa}}\sinh\left[\frac{\sqrt{145\alpha H_0^4 + 4\kappa}}{6\sqrt{\alpha}H_0}\,(t - t_0)\right] + \rho_0\cosh\left[\frac{\sqrt{145\alpha H_0^4 + 4\kappa}}{6\sqrt{\alpha}H_0}\,(t - t_0)\right]\right\}, \tag{15}$$

where $\rho_0 = \rho(t_0)$ and $\rho_{01} = \dot{\rho}(t_0)$, respectively. In order that the ordinary matter density decays exponentially, α must satisfy the constraint $\alpha < -\kappa/36H_0^4$. The ultra-high energy density regime of this model, corresponding to the condition $p = \rho$, has similar properties for the $p = 0$ case, that is, it also admits a de Sitter phase.

In conclusion, we have proposed a gravitational model with an arbitrary coupling between the energy-momentum and the Ricci tensors. An extra force is always present even in the case $L_m = p$, and causes a deviation from geodesic paths. The extra force could explain the properties of the galactic rotation curves without resorting to the dark matter hypothesis. The supplementary acceleration is proportional to the matter density gradient, tending to zero

for constant density self-gravitating systems. A similar dependence on the gradient of the Newtonian gravitational potential also appears in the generalized Poisson equation. The cosmological implications of the theory are also promising, with the gravitational field equations admitting de Sitter type solutions. Matter-geometry coupling may therefore be responsible for late time acceleration of the Universe, and matter itself may play a more fundamental role in the gravitational dynamics that usually assumed.

[1] P. A. R. Ade et al., Planck 2013 results. I, arXiv: 1303.5062 [astro-ph) (2013).
[2] P. A. R. Ade et al., Planck 2013 results. XVI, arXiv: 1303.5076 [astro-ph] (2013).
[3] P. A. R Ade et al. [BICEP2 Collaboration], arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO] (2014).
[4] C. Bonvin, R. Durrer, and R. Maartens, arXiv:1403.6768 (2014).
[5] S. Perlmutter *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999).
[6] A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998).
[7] A. G. Riess *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **607**, 665 (2004).
[8] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 1753 (2006).
[9] L. E. Strigari, Physics Reports **531**, 1 (2013).
[10] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, Living Rev. Rel. **13**, 3 (2010); T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 451 (2010); S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. **505**, 59 (2011); F. S. N. Lobo, arXiv:0807.1640 [gr-qc]; T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, Phys. Rep. **513**, 1 (2012).
[11] C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko, and F. S. N. Lobo, Astropart. Phys. **29**, 386 (2008).
[12] L. Randall L and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221].
[13] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999).
[14] R. Maartens, Living Rev. Rel. **7**, 7 (2004).
[15] F. S. N. Lobo, "The Dark side of gravity: Modified theories of gravity," arXiv:0807.1640 [gr-qc].
[16] O. Bertolami, C. G. Boehmer, T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 104016 (2007).
[17] T. Harko, Phys. Lett. B **669**, 376 (2008).
[18] T. Harko and F. S. N. Lobo, Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 373 (2010).
[19] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. 'i. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024020 (2011).
[20] Z. Haghani, T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, H. R. Sepangi, and S. Shahidi, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 044023 (2013).
[21] S. D. Odintsov and D. Sáez-Gómez, Phys. Lett. B **725**, 437 (2013).
[22] S. Deser and G. W. Gibbons, Class. Quantum Grav. 15, L35 (1998).
[23] A. D. Dolgov and M. Kawasaki, Phys. Lett. **B 573**, 1 (2003).